

SOME NEW BOOKS.

Sam Houston.

There have been several histories of Texas and several biographies of the man whose name is associated with the attainment of Texan independence, but what has been needed was the fusion of the stories in a single, compact narrative. This has been done in a thoroughly workmanlike way by Mr. A. M. Houghton in his *History of Texas, Houghton and the War of Independence in Texas* (Houghton, Mifflin & Co.). The author has not only availed himself of the historical and biographical material extant in print, but he has obtained a good deal of information at first hand from fresh sources, and he has brought his facts into a more coherent whole, which has not hitherto been applied to the same subject. The treatment of his theme is distinguished not only for ability, but for a genuine and lively sympathy which the reader will not fail to share. It would, indeed, be difficult to name in American history a more picturesque figure than Andrew Jackson, and a more dramatic episode than the gallant struggle for self-government which, after some vicissitudes, made Texas an independent republic, and eventually a member of our Union. In the popular imagination Houston has long been coupled with Andrew Jackson, although he stands upon a more exalted plane than was Jackson, who the great Tennesseean did not share, as well as some qualifications, including a faculty for public speaking, which were lacking in the defender of New Orleans.

It is remarkable that the two men most conclusively connected with the struggle for Texan independence, Houston and Austin, were both natives of the Old Dominion. Samuel, or, as he always preferred to call himself, Sam. Houston, was born on March 2, 1793, in Rockbridge county, Virginia. The Houston family was of Lowland Scotch origin of such old lineage that it is not possible to trace them in Houston, the founder of the American branch, came to this country in 1628 from the north of Ireland, and settled in Philadelphia. His grandson, Robert Houston, removed to Rockbridge county, Virginia, and married a daughter of the Scotch family of Davidson. Robert's son, Samuel, inherited the estate and name, and his wife, Elizabeth Paxton, and her family had accompanied his own in the emigration from Ireland. The position of the Houston family in Virginia was not that of the manorial gentry of the seaboard and tidewater region, but should rather be described as that of the richer farmers of the interior, who constituted the backbone of the commonwealth. He lived in rude plenty, due largely to their own labor. Samuel Houston served with credit in Gen. Daniel Morgan's brigade of riflemen during the Revolutionary war, and, at its close, was appointed Major and Assistant Inspector-General of the frontier troops. After his death, which occurred in 1800, his estate was bequeathed to his sons, and the settlements in Tennessee, and, with her nine children, Sam being then 3 years of age, she crossed the Alleghany Mountains and settled in Blount county, at a point eight miles east of the Tennessee River, which was then the boundary between the tribe of Cherokee Indians and their white neighbors. The land was then unsettled, clearing was opened, and the family managed to live in the rude and toilsome frontier fashion.

life and to his frontier soldierhood. It is true that Houston could only have obtained the most rudimentary schooling in a thinly populated agricultural neighborhood like that of Rockbridge county, and that his opportunities for instruction must have been even smaller in a pioneer settlement like that of East Tennessee. Whatever education he acquired in his early youth must have been due to his mother's fervid imagination, and his seedling upon such a soil must have been weak. The biographer tells us that in among the few books which had come to the frontier settlement, tucked in pack saddles or in the corners of chests, was Pope's translation of the *Iliad*, and that this was devoured by the boy until he knew it nearly by heart. Such a book was an education in itself, for the familiarity of the Greek story did not entirely obscure the heroic and kindling vitality of the original. Throughout his life Houston continued to be a man of few books. It is said, however, that he read and appreciated Shakespeare and acquired some familiarity with the standard works of English classical literature; in his later years, after he had become a statesman, he was known to thoroughly grasp the phraseology tinged his oratory. It is interesting to learn that, when commander of the Texas army, he studied "Caesar's Commentaries" for their lessons in the art of war. At the best, however, his reading was limited. What knowledge and wisdom he obtained came mainly from contact with men, and his persuasive eloquence and power of vigorous writing were the result of his association with men, elevating, and illuminating comes to mind rather than from any training in the methods and vocabulary of the masters of expression.

It was not long after the settlement of his family in east Tennessee that the first of his escapades took place. He had been placed as a clerk in a trader's store, but his spirit revolted at the tame life behind the counter, and one day he absconded and took up his abode with the Cherokees. There were in Houston's nature the same traits which characterized Indians; his hot blood, strong passions and passions; his fondness for adventure and for the freedom of the wilderness; his solemnly rebellious vanity and turn for histrionic effects; as well as his personal impressiveness, his shrewdness in managing men and affairs, his eloquence, his loftiness of spirit, and his prominent physical characteristics.

The germs of these traits were doubtless visible in youth. At all events, Houston was made welcome to the Cherokee villages, and was adopted into the family of one of the sub-chiefs of the tribe. He thoroughly acquired the Cherokee language, learned the native dress, and became, to all intents and purposes, an Indian.

When the Cherokees until his eighteenth year, and it was not until he found himself in debt for the ammunition and trinkets which he had purchased from time to time that he returned to civilization. Curiously enough, considering his qualifications, he undertook to extinguish his "Indian" propensities, and, indeed, what was still more curious, he succeeded. In his purpose, although he raised the price of tuition from \$6 to \$8 a year, one-third payable in cash, one-third in corn at 33 cents a bushel, and one-third in cotton goods, such as were used to make hunting shirts. Toward the close of his successful life, it happened that he met a young man named Houston, who you have seen commander-in-chief of the Texan army, President of the republic, and Senator of the United States. In which of these offices, or at what period in your career have you felt the greatest pride and satisfaction? "Well," replied Houston, "when I was a young man in Tennessee I kept a country store, and I remember three years ago, on a change, and a tall, strapping fellow. After getting over the luncheon, which I and my pupils ate together, I would go out into the woods and cut me a 'sour wood' stick. With this emblem of ornament and authority in my hand, dressed in a hunting shirt of flowered calico, a long coat, and a pair of trousers, and the sense of domination over my pupils, and the sense of domination by myself, I would sit down and deliver a sermon of dignity and self-satisfaction than has been any office or honor which I have since wielded." We should add that, after teaching for some time, Houston attended a session or two of the academy at Maryville, which completed all the education he ever received from schools.

II.

Soon after the war of 1812 broke out between the United States and Great Britain a recruiting party visited Maryville and Houson enlisted as a private soldier, being then in his twentieth year. He was made a sergeant the same day, and marched with his detachment to join one of the regiments which were to take part in the Creek war. He was not, however, left long in the ranks. A promotion having been made by his fellow soldiers, he was made a sergeant, and then a Lieutenant Madear for a detachment

he received commission as Ensign, which reached him while the regiment was stationed at Knoxville. With the volunteer troops which had been called out under Gen. Jackson, he took part in the desperate battle of Tohopeka, which was fought in August, 1845. Here he was severely wounded. He was the first man of his regiment to gain the top of the enemy's breastworks, and, as he did so, was stricken with a barbed arrow in the thigh. Two attempts to extract the arrow having failed, it was, at last, pulled out by main strength, and a gasping and jagged wound was left, from which the blood gushed freely. From the breastworks, by Jackson, Houston refused to obey, and rushing to the front, was the only man who answered! the call for volunteers to storm the ravine in which the last remnant of the Creeks had taken refuge. Unsupported, Houston dashed forward, but when within a few yards of the enemy's breastworks he was shot in the shoulder and had his upper right arm shattered. When Houston was borne from the field his wounds were pronounced by the surgeons necessarily fatal. They extracted one bullet, but the other was not removed until two years later, and the operation nearly cost him his life. The wound, indeed, never entirely healed, and he was obliged to use crutches for the rest of his life. He was not long after his death. One is, of course, prepared to hear that his gallantry at Tohopeka caused his promotion to a Lieutenantcy, but it is of more consequence to learn that his conduct in that battle gained for him Jackson's confidence and friendship, which he retained throughout his life. He was assigned to the 1st Regt., 1848, having taken umbrage at some unwarranted attacks upon the motives which had prompted

to shield his old friends, the Cherokees, from spoliation at the hands of Indian agents.

After leaving the army, Houston determined to become a lawyer, and after six months' study was admitted to the bar. The qualification for practice in Tennessee at the time was not "such a knowledge of the law and familiarity with legal procedure, as a flow of popular oratory and a determination to hold one's own against the fighting attorneys who were wont to supplement debate in the courtroom with a personal encounter outside. Houston never pretended to be a lawyer in the professional sense of the term. In Tennessee, the attorney afterward in Texas was known as a political attorney, and did not profess to be anything else. That he soon became a figure in public life is evident from the fact that, about a year after his admission to the bar, he was elected prosecuting attorney for the district which included Nashville, and, four years later, was elected governor of the state. He thereafter served in Congress for four years without special distinction, though he was known to be an earnest member of the Jackson wing of the democratic party. It was during his second term that his duel with Gen. William Whitte took place, a duel in which the latter was severely wounded, although Houston escaped unhurt. The duel was fought in the woods took their places. Houston slipped something into his mouth. That, as he afterward explained, was a bullet which he had put between his teeth on the advice of Andrew Jackson, who said that, on such occasions, it was good to have something in the mouth to bite on. Houston never fought again while sober. He was equally ready with the old assumption of dignity or a joke, to avoid the necessity. To a challenge from a political rival in Texas, he replied simply that he "never fought down hill." On another occasion, when called to account by a gentleman whom he had been denouncing, he said: "Why, he, I thought you were a gentleman, and I don't like to but I don't pretend to mind." "I don't like to, but I don't pretend to mind," he said, "to you or anybody else." "Well, I should like to know," said Houston, "did a man can't abuse his friends, who in hell can he abuse?" and the affair ended in a laugh. Once in Texas, Houston and ex-President Burnett had an acrimonious newspaper controversy, in which they bandied epithets, until Houston accused Burnett of being "too good for his tongue." The remark cost Houston his oratorical capital of the race, so that Burnett sent a challenge to Houston. "What does he predicate the demand upon?" said Houston in his loftiest manner. The emissary replied that it was for his abuse of Mr. Burnett. "Hain't he abused me to an equal degree?" He has done so publicly and privately, unceasingly, and I have been obliged to answer him singly disgusted with both of us." Houston's quality of manner overpowered the emissary, and he took back the challenge. Houston also rejected challenges from President Lamar, Gen. Albert Sidney Johnston, and Commodore Moore of the Texas navy, besides a good many others. On one occasion, being visited by a friend, he was asked to take a walk. He took the challenge and handed it to his private secretary with instructions to endorse it "Number fourteen," and file it away. He then formed the visitor that his affair must wait until the previous thirteen had been disposed of. The present biographer considers it a wonder that Houston should have preserved his peace with so many enemies, and the community well persistently declining to fight. As a matter of fact, his courage seems never to have been seriously questioned. He did furnish his proofs.

It was in 1827, at the age of 34, that Houston was elected Governor of Tennessee by a majority of 12,000, due partly to his personal popularity, but mainly to the fact that he was the representative of the personal party of Andrew Jackson. As to his appearance at the time of his election, we have the testimony of eyewitness. "He wore on that day," says L. Claiborne, "a tall, bell-crowned, medium-sized, shining, black beaver hat, shining black frock coat, black waistcoat, and a long, flowing, standing collar, ruffled shirt, black vest, shining black silk pants, gathered the waistband, with legs full, same size from ankle, and a gorgeous red-brown, many-colored gown or Indian hunting shirt, fastened the waist by a huge red sash, covered with a fringe of silver, and a pair of black, embroidered silk stockings, and cuffs and large silver buckles. Mounted on a superb gray horse, he appeared at the election announced, and was the observed of all observers." However fantastic may have been Houston's personal appearance, he exhibited the qualities of a statesman, and was elected to the office of Governor. He was a candidate for reelection for a second term, and he would apparently have been successful but for a mysterious event which put an end to his political career in the month of March, 1836. He died in March, 1836. On the 10th of April, 1828, he sent in his resignation of the office of Governor. It seems that in January of that year he had been married to a Miss Eliza Alden, the daughter of a rich and influential man, who had been numbered among his political adherents. After six months of marriage his wife left him and returned to her father's house. Houston came to her father asking him to persuade his wife to return, but she refused, and thereupon determined to retire from politics and from civilization. The cause of the trouble between him and his wife has not been definitely revealed. His enemies circulated the most outrageous reports concerning his conduct, and the worst interpretation was put upon the mystery. For a time it seemed probable that he would be subjected to personal abuse, but his friends rallied around him, and he was able to turn the matter to his advantage, to bury himself among the Cherokees, a portion of whom had removed from their homes in Tennessee to the Indian Terri-

As to this matter, we need only add that nothing could ever be extracted from Houston to the cause of the separation, even when he had lost his self-control from drink, and whenever he spoke of his wife it was in the most respectful terms. Mrs. Houston secured divorce from him on the ground of abandonment, and married a Dr. Douglass. She always remained equally silent as to the cause of the separation of herself and her first husband.

On the 12th of November, 1832 Houston dwelt in a room in the residence of the Chesapeake at the mouth of the Potomac, near the Chesapeake and the mouth of

Rivers. Here he found the chief who had formerly received him into his family, and through his influence he was formally adopted as a member of the tribe. In 1890 he visited Washington on their behalf and obtained redress for the wrongs of the tribe. He was elected a senator in 1892 and two years later he again visited the Federal capital in the interest of the Cherokee, and deeming himself outraged by reflection on his conduct made in the course of a debate by William Stannbery, a representative in Congress from Georgia, he challenged him to a duel and gave him a severe beating. Arrested for a breach of privilege and brought to the bar of the House, he escaped with a reprimand, which was administered by the Speaker in a mild and peremptory manner. Moreover, a committee of the House, of which the chief had been elected the basis of Stannbery's slur, made a report acquitting Houston of any fraudulent touts. On his return to the Indian Territory Houston passed through Tennessee, where he received an ovation, and was pressed to remain and receive pay for his assault on Stannbery, but, on the contrary, said, alluding to it: "I was dying out once, and had they taken me to court a Justice of the Peace and fined me ten dollars for assault and battery, it would have killed me; but they gave me a coroner's jury, and I am glad that they did not hang me." There is no doubt that during his residence in the Indian Territory the ex-governor of Tennessee sank to a low depth of degradation in personal habits. His full form was often seen stretched in the State Hospital, and his name was the subject of talk about the cantonment of Fort Gibson, and the Indians changed his name to Co-lon-nah to the more expressive one of Big trunk. Falling in love with a half-breed Cherokee woman named Tanya Rodgers, he took up with her in the Indian Territory, and his spirit, seemed likely to drop irreparably to the level of the border "squaw man." Houston had no children by this woman, but that was sincerely attached to her is evident from the fact that he sent for her to join him after his removal to the United States, and that, when she and her people, and died a few years afterward in the Indian Territory. We may say here that to the end of his life Houston remained a sincere and efficient friend of the Cherokees. When in power in Texas, and afterward as a Senator of the United States, he was ever ready to do good to the Indians. His memory is still fresh among them, and his name is perpetuated as an honored patronime, like that of William Penn.

It was toward the close of 1832 that Houston came to Texas with a commission from President Jackson to arrange treaties with the rancheros and other wild tribes for the protection of American settlers on the border. The biographer is not probable that there was also a secret understanding that he was to examine the condition of the country, with a view of ascertaining the power of the people to throw off the authority of Mexico, and their disposition in regard to annexation to the United States. The existence of such an understanding may be inferred from a letter to him printed in 1836, in which he was invited to visit Texas, would undoubtedly have been made from the Mexican Confederacy, and was annexed to the United States, would have been an English province. He informs Jackson his purpose to accept the invitation of the chiefs of Nacogdoches, the principal town in eastern Texas, to take up his residence among them. This he has done, and soon after the outbreak of the struggle for independence, he was elected Commander-in-Chief of the forces in eastern Texas, and at once engaged in organizing and forwarding volunteers. He took part in the organization of a provisional government for Texas at San Felipe, and it was with reference to his appearance on this occasion that the poet wrote the lines of "The Blanket and Buckskin," that Jackson is reported to have said that he "thanked God that there was one man, at least, in Texas, whom Almighty had made the making of, and not the other." It was due to a speech made by Austin that the Convention at San Felipe decided on declaring absolute independence. The Convention was composed of the United States, a Federal party in Mexico, as well as to correct the impression current in the United States of the revolt of the Texans was a filibuster movement originating in a conspiracy of slaveholders. Under the provisional Government established by this Convention Stephen S. Austin was made a Commissioner to the United States, and Stephen H. Austin was made Commander-in-Chief. Houston proceeded to draw up a plan for the organization of the army, and he had the active assistance of the Governor, but the Council delayed passing the necessary ordinances for a recruiting service. The quarrel which presently broke out brought about a state of anarchy in Texas, and the Convention was unable to make the organization of any adequate force to resist Santa Anna's expected invasion. Then came the capture of San Antonio by the forces of Santa Anna, and the siege of the fortress of Bexar. In the month of July, 1836, at the Alamo, where 2,500 Mexicans were

at San Jacinto by 145 Texans until the latter force was routed. It is said that about 2,000 of the Mexicans in this affair were killed, and 300 and 500 killed. It is certain that the prospect of taking the Alamo ought to have been a great temptation to Santa Anna that the subject of the execution of the prisoners by the Mexican colonists was an impossible task. By the new Convention, which met on March 1, 1836, at San Antonio, Santa Anna was elected, and Houston was unanimously elected Commander-in-Chief. He now expi-rienced less difficulty in collecting soldiers, due to the outburst of wrath and indigna-
caused by the fall of the Alamo and the subsequent massacre at Goliad, where 320 Texans were killed, and the Texas flooded the Mexicans. It was Houston who was killed at San Jacinto, where 630 Mexicans killed and 208 wounded out of a total of 1,300 and 1,400 engaged. The number of Texans in this battle, which achieved its independence, was only 743. All the Texans were killed, and the Mexicans fell into the hands of the victors. Santa Anna himself, the President of the Mexican republic, was made a prisoner. His first words of Santa Anna when he was first wro to Houston's tent were, "That man has killed me." He then said to Houston, "Who has conquered the Napoleon?" Houston replied: "It now remains for him to be generous and vanquished." Houston replied: "You would have remembered that at the Alamo." He went on to inform him that he had no authority to treat for his release or for terms of his release, but that he would refer the matter to the Government of Texas. A treaty was at once made, providing for a cessation of hosilities and an interchange of prisoners, supplemented with a secret agree-
that Santa Anna should procure the acknowledgment of the independence of Texas. But for the sake of the money, which Houston sent from New Orleans, whether he had been carried for the purpose of a wound, this agreement would not have been fulfilled, the Texans clamoring for the execution of Santa Anna, whom they justly regarded as the responsible author of the Alamo and the massacre at Goliad. It is seen that Santa Anna, as soon as he fled to Mexico, would repudiate the agreement, and as a matter of fact, the Mexican Congress had previously passed a decree that Santa Anna and his conventions subscribed by him were null and void. Houston would be

the general election held on July 23, 1863, President and Congress to take the place of a provisional Government, Houston was named Chief Magistrate by a very large majority. In the subsequent October, took possession of the office. He administered the duties of the office with remarkable sagacity, and due to him that Santa Anna was eventually released and sent under an escort to Washington by way of New Orleans. A few months

France was recognized by the United States, and this act was accompanied by a notification that the question of annexation could not be considered by the American Government until the French Ministry, although at the time being they refused to recognize the new republic, agreed to make a special commercial treaty with it, and a similar arrangement was made with France. The only, which was insubordinate, was first practically disbanded and the first president was appointed, and then the senior brigadier-general. His rival for the post, however, promptly challenged him, and in the ensuing duel wounded Johnston in the hip and amputated him from service for some time. Thereafter the successful duellist was allowed to retain his position until he was killed at the Battle of Houston, and thereafter him by giving a wound so deep that he was unable to walk, although to nearly all the troops, and virtually ended him to return to the United States.

Houston's manner of life as President of the republic of Texas is described as a singular compound of ceremonial dignity and front-primitiveness, resembling, in fact, that of the original potentate. He was not a person who could treat all comers on an equal and ready familiarity, but, on certain occasions, he would put on airs of state, when he gave audience to agents of foreign nations, is reported to have worn a sort of net robe. He still kept up his drinking habits, and was king of the roysterers as well as of the counsellors, without losing, apparently, the respect of the community. Eventually, the President was overruled in his reforming habits of drinking and roistering, and they were abandoned. The Constitution of the Texan republic made President ineligible for two succeeding terms, and in 1836 Houston was succeeded by Mirabeau B. Lamar. It was during Lamar's administration that a convention was convened with Lord Palmerston for the recognition of Texan independence on the condition that the United States should pay the \$10,000,000 debt due by Mexico to the English bondholders. The example of Great Britain was followed by France, Holland, and Belgium. The attempt, however, to obtain a loan from European capitalists failed, and the finances of Texas sank into a deplorable condition under Lamar's administration. The public debt of the republic was increased by nearly \$5,000,000, whereas \$100,000 had covered the debt in 1836. The redeeming feature of the Lamar policy was the impulse given to a system of public education by a grant of land for the university and an appropriation from the public domain to each county for the establish-

December, 1841, Houston was inaugurated president of Texas for the second time, and proceeded to carry out a programme of economic reform. At his suggestion, his own salary was reduced from \$10,000 to \$5,000, and the pay of other civil officers was cut down in like proportion. That his purpose of retrenchment was sincere and rigorously carried out is evidenced by the fact that, during his three years' office, the payments from the Treasury amounted to less than four hundred and forty thousand dollars. In 1842, Santa Anna, who had again become master of Mexico, felt constrained by public opinion to make at least a show of renewing the invasion of Texas. The quarrel between him and Houston, was the most serious crisis in the history of the Republic. In June, 1842, President Houston despatched a dispatch to the Texan Ministers at Washington directing him to make known the friendly proffers made by the United States Government, and to withdraw the application of Texas for annexation to the United States. The obvious design of this dispatch was to put pressure upon certain warm members of Congress, and it was warmly received by an attempt to induce Houston to make application. Houston desired to make no such application, and he was determined to defend on to protect Texas from invasion while the negotiations were going on. No answer was given to this question, but it was assumed that the necessary two-thirds of the Senators would vote to ratify a treaty, and he was told by the United States diplomatic agent in Texas that the Washing-

Government would not permit the interference of Mexico or any other power while the Texas question was being decided, and these assurances as sufficient, and appointed a special commissioner to renew the proposal for annexation. The projected treaty to that end was submitted to the Senate with a complimentary message from President Tyler, but was rejected by a vote of 35 to 16. No sooner was the treaty rejected than the President declared that the Texas question was not to be decided by the British Government, as the British Government proposed to the Texan Ministers in London an agreement to which five Great Britain, France, the United States, Texas, and Mexico, should be parties. The purpose of this agreement was to assure Texas that the United States and Texas, that she pledging itself not to unite with Britain, France agreeing to join with Britain in compelling the assent of Mexico. Houston professed to favor this plan, and even sent instructions to Anson Jones, Texan Secretary of State, to close with the Government of Great Britain and France; but, as he was a man of honor, and a man of principle, he assumed that he was still playing the game of annexationists, and was willing that Anson Jones, who had just been elected President of Texas, should have the honor of concluding a treaty with the United States. There is no doubt, however, that at that time the people of Texas regarded the annexation project as a treachery, and the prevailing opinion is recorded in Houston's last message to the Texas Congress. "The United States," he wrote, "has spurned Texas twice already. Let her, therefore, firmly maintain her position as it will work out her own political salvation. The annexation project upon which we are now to be decided is a treachery to our independent people. If Texas goes back again for admission to the United States, she will only degrade herself. Meanwhile, however, the apprehension of Texas would be bound to Great Britain and France, and her independence aroused alarm and jealousy in the United States, and public sentiment there is decidedly in favor of annexation. In the Democratic National Convention James K. Polk was nominated as an avowed advocate of Texas, and was subsequently elected President of the United States. On March 1, 1845, a joint resolution was adopted by both Houses of Congress for the admission of Texas into the Union. The invitation was accepted by the Congress with only one dissenting vote, Richard Bache, a grandson of Benjamin Franklin, and, on Oct. 4, 1845, Texas ceased to be a Republic, and became one of the United States. Samuel Houston and Thomas J. Rusk were chosen to represent the new State in the Senate at Washington.

VI.
American Senate, when Houston entered, in March, 1846, had among its members a larger number of distinguished and prominent than it had ever had before or since. Besides the great leaders, Webster, and Calhoun, there were many names scarcely less conspicuous, among whom may be mentioned Thomas H. Benton, Cass, Thomas Corwin, Daniel S. Dickinson, and Revord Johnson. Owing to his reputation as a statesman, Houston became at once the center of the Senate chamber. He remained unclothed in dress, wearing a broad-brimmed hat of soft fur and draping himself in a rich red lining, or in a bright-colored blanket. He was accustomed to white-clothed a plain white shirt and tie. Having none of the modesty which is a new senator to conform to usage and silent during his first session, he delivered his first speech just a fortnight after he taken his seat. Although, at times, in language his first speech did indicate that he was likely to be one among the leaders of the Senate. Not

owners by voting Benton in advance of prohibiting the establishment of slavery in Oregon. In 1846, Houston declared himself in favor of the admission of California as a free state, thereby still further exasperating the Southern States. He had been controlling the territory acquired by the Mexican war. There is no evidence, however, that the people of Texas disapproved of him at the time. There was, as yet, no slave-holding element in the Territorial Legislature, and the people of Texas then was still fresh and strong. We should thank that Houston voted for the abolition of the slave trade in the District of Columbia. There was an earnest supporter of the Clay anti-slavery measures. In January, 1853, he reflected credit on the people of Texas, as without any formidable opposition, he made him, owing to the defeat of Benton in Missouri, the sole conspicuous representative in the Senate of the old Jackson Union Democracy from the South. He was, however, in his principles, a man who opposed the Nebraska bill and the annexing, He and John Bell of Tennessee were the only Senators from Southern States who voted against the measure. The silence of the people was subsequently maintained during the passage of the Kansas bill, as the bare suggestion of a vote in favor of the bill was simply the fulfillment of his own desires of evil. It is not equally easy to find his silence in regard to the attack upon Sumner in the Senate chamber. It is true that Sumner had seen such methods of carrying out the policy of the Government in the people of them in his own person, so that he hardly in a position to reprimand them severely. But he had assailed Stannard face to face in the street, and he must have revolted at the mingled brutality and cowardice of the assault upon an unarmed man, and a man, seated and practically pinned, in the desk.

1850 there was a movement for the nomination of Houston to the Presidency. The National Committee of the Democracy of New Hampshire urged his nomination as the person best qualified to protect the rights of the South to the repeal of the Missouri compromise. Houston made a sort of electioneering tour in some of the principal cities of the North, but he could not get the nomination of New York party, because he refused to renounce his old anti-slavery position. He was nominated by the New Hampshire party, but the election was given to the extreme slave-holding element equally fatal to his political ambition. There was no room in the Democratic Convention of 1856 for a Southern man with Northern principles; what was wanted was a Northern man without Southern principles. Houston was selected. Houston lost even his influence in the Senate at this time, being defeated in the election in the Legislature of 1857. The popular press is doubtless justified in attributing the defeat to lack of any special effort on his part for two years later, when he took the lead in the Senate against a far more formidable opposition.

VII.

have said that the State election of 1850 illustrated Houston's tremendous hold upon common people. He had been put forth for Governor by the Unionists, but the ease of the secession Democracy had been in all the party machinery, most of the zealous public men, and the influential agents. These united forces were defeated in Houston almost single handedly. It was, however, was rather that of his pre-influence than of the union sentiment. Secessionists elected a majority of the legislature and almost all the executive officers. In the Presidential election of 1850 Houston took no active part. He was opposed by the representative of Northern Unionism, Fremont, and was defeated by Breckinridge and Douglas, having never to vote for any man who had supported the Nebraska bill. He saw no chance for election of Bell, and, besides, did not consider a competent man for the Presidency. His latest letter he declared he stood with no party, and he was not a statesman, and could see no way out of the difficult situation.

bers of the electoral colleges who would elect to vote for a Union man regardless of official nominees. On Sept. 22, 1870, there was a Union mass meeting at Austin, and Houston rose from his sick bed to attend it. He declared that the possible election of the Republican party would not be the real cause for the dissolution of the Union, and went on to utter words of wisdom and the South must now read with a sad "If," he said, "through division in the ranks of those opposed to Mr. Lincoln, he will be elected, we have no excuse for dissolving the Union. The Union is worth more to Mr. Lincoln, and if a battle is to be fought, let us fight it in the name of the Constitution, let us fight it in the name of the Union and for the sake of the Union and for the sake of the people in favor of the Constitution. Shall we desert the Government and

176
Signs of

tempted or permitted. If Mr. Lincoln tells the Government in accordance with the Constitution, our rights must be respected, and if not, the Constitution provides a remedy." In Houston's efforts to uphold the Union, wrath and indignation among the leaders. He was denounced everywhere he went to the South. Senator Wigfall of Virginia what he would scarcely venture to say in Texas, that he ought to be hanged and feathered. He fled from the State of Georgia went so far as to hint at assassination. He said: "Some Texan Brutus would ride his country of this old hairy traitor." When the Texas State Convention on Jan. 28, 1861, the secessionist majority promptly adopted a resolution declaring its authority, and Houston as President of the Convention, on the ground of the election of the Convention, was asked to sign the resolution. He refused the resolution, however, was passed without his veto, and the Convention immediately adopted an ordinance of secession by a vote of 211 to 5. Houston's subsequent course showed that he preferred to submit to secession rather than to allow the State in civil war, although he was not a secessionist. If he had been a secessionist, he would have signed the ordinance by the Federal Government. His movement became so strong, he might have succeeded. It was the ground for this suggestion.

[illegible]

He said: "Some of you launch to scorn acts of bloodshed as the result of sectional and jealously propose to drink all the blood that will ever flow in consequence of it. I tell you the time will come when the Union will be shattered and the people will be herded together like sheep at the point of the bayonet, and mothers and wives and sisters and brothers will ask, Where are they? And I will answer, Where? You may, after the cease of countless millions of treasure and hundreds of thousands of precious lives, as a country, have secured independence, but I do not against you, but I tell you that, while I believe with the doctrine of State rights, the North determined to preserve this Union. They are a fierce, impulsive people, as you are, they live in colder climates. But when we begin to move in a given direction where we have no business, they move before us before the country, they move with ready momentum and perseverance of a avalanche; and what I fear is they overwhelm the South with ignoble defeat." He said, Houston said in conclusion he would abide by the action of his State. On the 10th of November, the Convention, while in session, the question of secession, the people, had no question to do with the Confederate States, he decided to take the oath of allegiance to the secession. When the day came to take the oath, the presiding officer of the Convention said, "Three times," "Sam Houston, Sam Houston, Sam Houston," But the Governor refused to do so. In the basement of the building, a platoon of soldiers were waiting to be whipped. The Governor was deposed from his office, and Edward Clark was installed as Governor. My wrote of this incident: "Houston was taken out of sight, leaving not a ripple on the surface." It is true that he retired to private life, declining to fight against the Union for the people of his State. He refused to take the oath of allegiance to the Confederacy, and when his eldest son, John, was elected to the Confederate service he refused to furnish arms and equipments, though he is said to have told his son jokingly that the most appropriate place for his accession rosette would be inside of the tail of his coat. There is no doubt that Houston's later years were devoted to the cause of the Union. He was not destined to see the fulfillment of his dream of the Confederacy. He died soon after the fall of Vicksburg, on July 23, 1863.

VIII.

author of this biography is devoted to the personal characteristics. We have touched on most of these, but a word be said about the kindness of his

His manners to women were remarkable and respectful. We are told a word of admiration, not of adoration, and, no matter what might be a rank or station the politeness and patron which he showed to her would name. We are assured that this was not, but sprang from a genuine of respect and chivalric feeling. His affections were deep and strong. His wife, to whom he owed so much for her upon his personal habits, was re- with profound love and reverence. The other borrows Hawthorne's phrase to understand the charm of his manner to the young; he had, we are told, a which children loved, and gained their love and affection at once. He was of playing with children, and of their stories, and was constantly en- whittling out toys for them from his of pine sticks. His own children were up in freedom and confidence, and at the time with a judicious education and his slaves were kindly treated, and a measure, members of the family, generous and helpful to all persons in need of money and property he had the call of a good neighbor. It is recorded that he once pulled off his coat to a ragged soldier who had served in Mexico, and his acts of charity were wise and spontaneous.

the present biographer regards of Sam Houston with sincere and sympathy. It is not denied that the limit of his character forbids his being reckoned among the world's great men. It is said, however, that he was strong man, of great achievements, practical in spite of eccentricities and weakness with wise conceptions of statesmanship, politics, determined and courageous, devoted to the welfare of his people. There may be another Sam Houston in America, for the state of society which permits him has passed away. A type of his circumstances, he rose above them with capacity and energy. There were others who surpassed them, all except his son, Andrew Jackson. M. W. H.

BURLE BREWING IN HAYTL.
Impending Revolutionary Uprising

ON Jamaica, Sept. 5.—Hayti seems to be a verve of fresh, reasonable turmoils about its future, according to the latest reports from the capital. The republic of Hayti is apt to be the best place for Haytian news before it becomes history. The headquarters of the Haytian nationalists, from whom much important news, naturally not obtainable in the island itself, is learned. Most of the white residents of Hayti have correspondents here, and the later news of actual affairs in Hayti is being sent to the world to be discussed on Haytian territory. There is close communication between the islands and considerable travel, and the newspapers here have reliable correspondents in Haytian centres. The radical revolutionists, who style themselves "Haytian Patriots"—they might best be called "Haytian Socialists"—are the ones who is put out or left out of office at the end of the periodical revolutions come, and becomes a "Patriot"—have received signs of considerable action some time ago the several factions have, and in one way and another it is shown that a "movement" was probably near future. The revolutionists are being met by the talking kind of Hayti, which may possibly meet in the R.R. station.

[illegible]

THE FLIRT.

to say, the Person Who Flays at

Courtesan.

Do you see the lady?
That is the lady.
Is she doing?
Talking to the man.
Is she talking about?
Nothing.
Does she seem to be quite interested?
In her husband?
In a husband?
By heaven, or may not.
Appear almost like sweethearts.
They are not: at least the woman isn't.
Does she?
A flirt.
What is that?
Nothing no woman ought to be.
Are there many things no woman ought to do?
What is there?
And this is three or four of them.
Do you not say just what a flirt is?
Because it is an almost indefinable
might, at a venture, say a flirt is an

one who assumes an emotion
 he have it not?
 at emotion being the tender passion,
 believe she loves a man when she
 it.
 ones also do it?
 ivate the man.
 ones also acquire the habit?
 acquired: it is innate.
 tilities develops it?
 e practice of any other bad quality,
 irts alike?
 some are merely inconstant and re-
 t they do, while others are simply
 their spouses.
 married women are flirts, eh?
 several lengths.
 en with husbands flirt with men?
 e, more's the pity.
 to have men fall in love with them?
 ay not be their purpose, exactly, but
 is just the same.
 t most men fall in love with them?
 of and homage some women must have.
 their husbands object?
 t the flirt exists nevertheless.
 the woman has captured the man,
 she do?

the fisherman with his fish; runs a
ough his gills and goes after more.
ches them?

Q. 'Tis the men know better?
men are fools or knaves.

Unmarried girls conduct their pre-
in the same manner?

A. Much so.

Q. Men?

A. Men more excusable, for in the case of
married, the men may be led on in the
marriage is to end the game.

Q. But,

A. Why that flirts don't marry?

Q. They don't often marry the men they
hat?

A. Because along they can't flirt with, and in
eavors to add him to the string,
their balance and fall in themselves.

Q. A flirt loves the man she marries, how
rt after she is his wife?

A. 'Tisn't. If she loves him; but it isn't
the woman who loves the man she marries.

Q. No?

A. No. One may think so at the time, but she
her mind—a privilege tradition has
to women.

Q. If women flirts more or less?

A. I said to be naturally coquetteish;
they are, but coquetry is not flirting;
than a glass of champagne is the
is permissible then?

A. If form, yes; it lends sparkle to a
and an agreeable vivacity that attracts
women alike.

mitted to a married woman ?
It is not, at least by that name. A
woman may be attractive and have
the closest touch of the flirt in her
about her. But the unmarried woman
is not tolerable in the girl, but in the
man, the old maid, we will say, it is
venal.

Does she flirt?
At times.

Does she?
Only knows, and he won't tell.
Young women ever immortal flirts?
Very young. If it possesses them
owns on the surface.

Do make women flirts, whether they
?
million times, perhaps.

Is a woman be rather stupid if she

little flirtatious?
would be lovely.
people think so?
I don't.

are the only flirts?
 are men flirts.
 or not?
 mean married men are flirts?
 the worst kind.
 an women?
 at times; indeed, that is the most
 trait in a man's character.
 at?
 at something manly in a man.
 better for the unmarried man to be
 he is at least his own master, and
 carry the burden of his own offences.
 married man flirt worse than the mar-
 ried man?
 morally, perhaps; but so much
 despised.
 doesn't mean anything by it?
 ore reason why he should not do it.
 unmarried man flirt continue so?
 ys.
 sorders him, as a rule.
 does the married man flirt come
 at frequently an emanation from the
 date. That's why he is so much
 in if he were born that way.
 married man who flirts may not

[illegible]